

**Minutes of Strategic Planning and Allocation of Resources Committee Held  
on October 31, 2024**

**Zoom:** <https://smccd.zoom.us/j/89715478630>

**Chairs Present:** Joe Morello, Cassidy Ryan, Ingrid Vargas

**Members Present:** Chris Collins, Kevin Corsiglia, Lorraine DeMello, Chris Gibson, Nancy Kaplan-Biegel, Elizabeth Llamas, Ellen Murray, Vivian Paw, Chris Watters, Kenyatta Weathersby, Phillip Williams

**Members Absent:** Kadae Aung, Luis Escobar, Roderick Magbual, Dino Nomicos, Karen Wong, Hellen Zhang

**Guests Present:** Torria Davis

**Resource Persons Present:** Paul Cassidy, Zahra Mojtahedi

**Resource Persons Absent:** Becky Threewit

---

**1. SPARCKle Minutes:** There were no SPARCKle minutes.

**2. GENERAL FUNCTIONS**

**2.1. Call to Order**

Joe Morello called the regular meeting to order at 2:15 p.m.

**3. ACTION ITEM(S)**

**3.1. Approve Agenda**

SPARC Tri-Chair Ingrid Vargas proposed an amendment to the agenda, moving the item on the Skyline College Technology Plan ahead of the Review of Feedback to the M-V-V draft and postponing the discussion of the Technology Plan's alignment with the EMP to a future meeting. Motion was approved.

A motion was made by Chris Gibson and seconded by Ellen Murray to approve the October 31, 2024 meeting agenda. Motion carried unanimously.

**3.2 Approve Minutes**

A motion was made by Nancy Kaplan-Biegel and seconded by Gavin Townsley to approve the October 10, 2024 meeting minutes. Motion carried with one abstention.

---

**4. DISCUSSION/REPORT**

**4.1. Skyline College Technology Plan**

ASLT Dean Torria Davis presented the current draft of the Skyline College Technology

Plan 2024-2029 for feedback from SPARC members. Input has also been solicited from several other constituent groups including Academic Senate, Management Council, and will be shared with the SEED Committee next. Dean Davis requested that SPARC members submit feedback to her via email by November 14, so that the [Technology Advisory Committee](#) can consider it along with other community input at their next meeting. A final draft of the Technology Plan will be brought back to SPARC for official review to ensure alignment with the Education Master Plan. SPARC will vote on whether to recommend the plan to the College Governance Council for approval in Spring.

#### **4.2. Mission-Vision-Values (M-V-V) Review**

Senior Planning and Research Analyst Zahra Mojtahedi reviewed the feedback received on the draft M-V-V. The majority of the feedback received was very positive, with the general consensus being that the proposed revisions enhanced the existing values. Several commentators commended the addition of ‘institutional transparency’ to the College M-V-V. A spreadsheet with the complete community feedback collected via survey had been shared with SPARC members prior to the meeting, so Zahra was able to quickly summarize the minor changes incorporated by PRIE, and invited SPARC members to raise any concerns to the adopted edits. No concerns were raised about the minor changes made.

Zahra then opened the floor to discussion of the two more substantive recommendations coming from the community feedback:

1 – Strengthen the language of the sustainability value to make a bolder statement about the college’s commitment.

After some discussion, SPARC members reached consensus on a revised version of the sustainability value which added more active and unqualified language without over-committing the College.

2 – Incorporate a commitment to “antiracism” into the Social Justice value.

Community feedback on the updated social justice value had noted that ‘fostering an inclusive and equitable environment’ was not a strong enough statement, and that the more active ‘antiracism’ should be included as a College value.

After lengthy and lively discussion, SPARC members agreed that PRIE would draft an additional sentence that expressed the College’s desire to become an antiracist institution while making it clear that living up to that value is a work in progress, and bring it to the November 14 SPARC meeting for consideration.

It was noted that the majority of the feedback expressed approval of the existing draft revisions, and that SPARC needed to honor that feedback as well. Any additional revisions approved by SPARC would be subject to review and revision by the College Governance Council (CGC), and CGC’s voting members represent each of the College’s constituent groups. Additionally, SPARC can also recommend that the M-V-V be posted to the College website for final review and opportunity for feedback prior to being considered by CGC in the Spring.

**4.1. Mission-Vision-Values (M-V-V) Approval to recommend to CGC**

Due to continuing discussion of revisions to the draft M-V-V, this item was postponed to the November 14 SPARC meeting.

**NEXT REGULAR MEETING**

The next regular meeting will take place on Thursday November 14, 2024.

**5. ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned at 4:01 p.m.